

Dynamic Programming Based FPGA Hosted Control-Flow Integrity (CFI)

DESIGN DOCUMENT

sdmay21-10

Professor Akhilesh Tyagi

Advisers: Ananda Biswas and Zelong Li

Greg Wendt/Meeting Scribe

Cole Schumacher/Meeting Facilitator

Nickolas Mitchell/Chief Engineer

Samuel Henley/Chief Engineer

Maxwell Wangler/Test Engineer

Tristan Duyvejonck/Report Manager

sdmay21-10@iastate.edu

<http://sdmay21-10.sd.ece.iastate.edu>

Revised: 9/16/2020 v1.0

Executive Summary

Development Standards & Practices Used

We use the following standards for interfacing with the FPGA:

- FMC (FPGA Mezzanine Card): Most popular and versatile interface standard
- Digital PMOD: A nice, simple alternative to FMC
- SYZYGY: newer standard that provides a middle ground between these two
- Standard design practices:
 - Well-documented
 - Efficient use of resources
 - Using proper IP's and memory cores

We use the following standards in regards to Control-Flow Integrity:

- Current CFI strategies
 - Stack Canaries
 - Non-executable memory
 - Write XOR eXecute
 - Code randomization
- Coarse-grained CFI
- Fine-grained CFI
- We want the best possible security with the lowest possible performance impact

Summary of Requirements

A high level overview of the function requirements is given below:

- We will create an algorithm to match two sets of strings
- One string is generated based on program control flow, and is compared to a defined string

- It will use a two dimensional grid with its sized based on the number of basic blocks in the program

A high level overview of the nonfunctional requirements is given below:

- The algorithm must perform fast. The goal is $O(n\log(n))$.

Applicable Courses from Iowa State University Curriculum

List all Iowa State University courses whose contents were applicable to your project.

New Skills/Knowledge acquired that was not taught in courses

List all new skills/knowledge that your team acquired which was not part of your Iowa State curriculum in order to complete this project.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	5
1.1 Acknowledgement	5
1.2 Problem and Project Statement	5
1.3 Operational Environment	5
1.4 Requirements	5
1.5 Intended Users and Uses	5
1.6 Assumptions and Limitations	6
1.7 Expected End Product and Deliverables	6
2 Project Plan	6
2.1 Task Decomposition	6
2.2 Risks And Risk Management/Mitigation	6
2.3 Project Proposed Milestones, Metrics, and Evaluation Criteria	7
2.4 Project Timeline/Schedule	7
2.5 Project Tracking Procedures	7
2.6 Personnel Effort Requirements	8
2.7 Other Resource Requirements	8
2.8 Financial Requirements	8
3 Design	8
3.1 Previous Work And Literature	8
3.2 Design Thinking	9
3.3 Proposed Design	9
3.4 Technology Considerations	9
3.5 Design Analysis	9
3.6 Development Process	10
3.7 Design Plan	10
4 Testing	10
4.1 Unit Testing	11

4.2 Interface Testing	11
4.3 Acceptance Testing	11
4.4 Results	11
5 Implementation	11
6 Closing Material	12
6.1 Conclusion	12
6.2 References	12
6.3 Appendices	12

List of figures/tables/symbols/definitions (This should be the similar to the project plan)

Table 2.1: Gantt Chart for tasks (2020)

Table 2.2: Gantt Chart for tasks (2021)

1 Introduction

1.1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Work on this project is taking place along with Dr. Akhilesh Tyagi, and graduate assistants Ananda Biswas and Zelong Li. All of which have a significant expertise in the computer engineering and software engineering field. Our team is working closely with these assistants to familiarize ourselves with the project and the problems we need to solve.

1.2 PROBLEM AND PROJECT STATEMENT

General Problem Statement:

We are trying to determine if we can detect a break within the control flow of a program.

General Solution Approach:

To do this, we are trying to simplify the problem into one similar to a DNA sequencing problem using dynamic programming.

1.3 OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

The Operational Environment for this program will be lab rooms. No extreme conditions are expected.

1.4 REQUIREMENTS

A high level overview of the function requirements is given below:

- We will create an algorithm to match two sets of strings
- One string is generated based on program control flow, and is compared to a defined string
- It will use a two dimensional grid with its sized based on the number of basic blocks in the program

A high level overview of the nonfunctional requirements is given below:

- The algorithm must perform fast. The goal is $O(n \log(n))$.

1.5 INTENDED USERS AND USES

As the program is experimental, we don't have a set user base in mind at the moment beyond the Professor and Graduate Students.

1.6 ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Assumptions:

- The solution for DNA sequencing can be applied to this problem.

Limitations:

- We must use dynamic programming to determine if we lost control flow integrity.
- We must use a FPGA board to test our solution.
- The FPGA board shouldn't cost more than \$100.

1.7 EXPECTED END PRODUCT AND DELIVERABLES

The deliverable for this project is an FPGA board with a working program. The program shall compare two strings: one generated based on program control flow and a defined one. The expected delivery date for this is April 30, 2021.

The expected delivery dates are as follows:

- Ideate solutions: October 26, 2020
- Test solution in higher-level programming language: November 20, 2020
- Implement race conditions logic: February 12, 2021
- Implement solution in FPGA: April 30, 2021

2 Project Plan

2.1 TASK DECOMPOSITION

1. Develop solution (Meant for understanding race logic/cfg)
 - a. Write pseudocode for solution
 - b. Develop solution in higher-level programming language
 - c. Test solution
 - d. Look at binary/cfg (LLVM)
2. Implement solution in FPGA board
 - a. Implement using FPGA emulator
 - b. Implement on actual FPGA
3. Features (TBD at a later date with the clients)

2.2 RISKS AND RISK MANAGEMENT/MITIGATION

- Possibly override code of other team members
 - The use of git prevents this to a high degree.

2.3 PROJECT PROPOSED MILESTONES, METRICS, AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

Our first key milestone includes, completing development on the software solution which will detect whether there is a significant breach in Control Flow Integrity with above 50% accuracy. Note, the target accuracy is subject to change based on testing. Our team's last milestone will be completing implementation on hardware with accuracy similar to our software solution.

2.4 PROJECT TIMELINE/SCHEDULE

Tasks	9/4/2020	9/11/2020	9/18/2020	9/25/2020	10/2/2020	10/9/2020	10/16/2020	10/23/2020	10/30/2020	11/6/2020	11/13/2020	11/20/2020	11/27/2020	12/4/2020	12/11/2020	12/18/2020	12/25/2020
1a																	
1b																	
1c																	
1d																	
2a																	
2b																	
3																	

Table 2.1: Gantt Chart for tasks (2020)

Tasks	1/1/2021	1/8/2021	1/15/2021	1/22/2021	1/29/2021	2/5/2021	2/12/2021	2/19/2021	2/26/2021	3/5/2021	3/12/2021	3/19/2021	3/26/2021	4/2/2021	4/9/2021	4/16/2021	4/23/2021	4/30/2021	5/7/2021
1a																			
1b																			
1c																			
1d																			
2a																			
2b																			
3																			

Table 2.2: Gantt Chart for tasks (2021)

Tables 2.1 and 2.2 show the proposed schedule for completing tasks laid out in Section 2.1.

2.5 PROJECT TRACKING PROCEDURES

- Our group is using Slack to communicate with the team
- Our group is using a Google Drive to store team documents.
- Our group is using Github to store files relating to the project.
- Our group is a combination of GMAIL, Webex, and ZOOM, to communicate with our clients.

- Our group is using Trello to keep track of assigned tasks and due dates.

2.6 PERSONNEL EFFORT REQUIREMENTS

Task	Hours Per Person	Team members assigned	Total person-hours
1a	4	1	4
1b	5	2	10
1c	5	3	15
1d	15	3	45
2a	10	3	30
2b	15	2	30
3	TBD	TBD	TBD

Table 2.3: Effort Estimate by task

Our team will expect all team members to complete the tasks assigned to them by a predetermined deadline determined by the team. Our team expects total weekly hours per member to not exceed around 40 hours in week given several tasks to be completed in said week. In general, our team expects all members to give an appropriate number of hours to provide quality while still time managed work.

2.7 OTHER RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

A basic FPGA board will be the only required resource.

2.8 FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS

Our team plans on no financial requirements being necessary.

3 Design

3.1 PREVIOUS WORK AND LITERATURE

Include relevant background/literature review for the project

- If similar products exist in the market, describe what has already been done

- If you are following previous work, cite that and discuss the **advantages/shortcomings**
- Note that while you are not expected to “compete” with other existing products / research groups, you should be able to differentiate your project from what is available

Detail any similar products or research done on this topic previously. Please cite your sources and include them in your references. All figures must be captioned and referenced in your text.

A. Madhavan, T. Sherwood and D. Strukov, "Race Logic: Abusing Hardware Race Conditions to Perform Useful Computation," in *IEEE Micro*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 48-57, May-June 2015, doi: 10.1109/MM.2015.43.

3.2 DESIGN THINKING

To define the problem that shaped our design, we worked closely with the professor to understand the needs and core issues of monitoring Control Flow Integrity. Our final goal is to implement the solution on an FPGA board, but first we wanted to focus on developing a solution that could be implemented and tested in a high-level environment.

When we ideated solutions for the problem, we discussed ideas with our graduate assistants. We focused on one solution and built on it until we could determine if the solution was feasible.

3.3 PROPOSED DESIGN

Include any/all possible methods of approach to solving the problem:

- Discuss what you have done so far – what have you tried/implemented/tested?
- Some discussion of how this design satisfies the **functional and non-functional requirements** of the project.
- If any **standards** are relevant to your project (e.g. IEEE standards, NIST standards) discuss the applicability of those standards here
- This design description should be in **sufficient detail** that another team of engineers can look through it and implement it.

3.4 TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERATIONS

Highlight the strengths, weakness, and trade-offs made in technology available.

Discuss possible solutions and design alternatives

3.5 DESIGN ANALYSIS

- Did your proposed design from 3.3 work? Why or why not?
- What are your observations, thoughts, and ideas to modify or iterate over the design?

3.6 DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Discuss what development process you are following with a rationale for it – Waterfall, TDD, Agile. Note that this is not necessarily only for software projects. Development processes are applicable for all design projects.

3.7 DESIGN PLAN

Describe a design plan with respect to use-cases within the context of requirements, modules in your design (dependency/concurrency of modules through a module diagram, interfaces, architectural overview), module constraints tied to requirements.

4 Testing

Testing is an **extremely** important component of most projects, whether it involves a circuit, a process, or software.

1. Define the needed types of tests (unit testing for modules, integrity testing for interfaces, user-study or acceptance testing for functional and non-functional requirements).
2. Define/identify the individual items/units and interfaces to be tested.
3. Define, design, and develop the actual test cases.
4. Determine the anticipated test results for each test case
5. Perform the actual tests.
6. Evaluate the actual test results.
7. Make the necessary changes to the product being tested

8. Perform any necessary retesting
9. Document the entire testing process and its results

Include Functional and Non-Functional Testing, Modeling and Simulations, challenges you have determined.

4.1 UNIT TESTING

- Discuss any hardware/software units being tested in isolation

4.2 INTERFACE TESTING

- Discuss how the composition of two or more units (interfaces) are to be tested. Enumerate all the relevant interfaces in your design.

4.3 ACCEPTANCE TESTING

How will you demonstrate that the design requirements, both functional and non-functional are being met? How would you involve your client in the acceptance testing?

4.4 RESULTS

- List and explain any and all results obtained so far during the testing phase
 - Include failures and successes
 - Explain what you learned and how you are planning to change the design iteratively as you progress with your project
 - If you are including figures, please include captions and cite it in the text

5 Implementation

Describe any (preliminary) implementation plan for the next semester for your proposed design in 3-3.

6 Closing Material

6.1 CONCLUSION

Summarize the work you have done so far. Briefly re-iterate your goals. Then, re-iterate the best plan of action (or solution) to achieving your goals and indicate why this surpasses all other possible solutions tested.

6.2 REFERENCES

List technical references and related work / market survey references. Do professional citation style (ex. IEEE).

6.3 APPENDICES

Any additional information that would be helpful to the evaluation of your design document.

If you have any large graphs, tables, or similar data that does not directly pertain to the problem but helps support it, include it here. This would also be a good area to include hardware/software manuals used. May include CAD files, circuit schematics, layout etc., PCB testing issues etc., Software bugs etc.